

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/898,699	07/02/2001	Dong-woo Lee	9898-176	2435		
20575	7590 01/30/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC			SINGH, D	SINGH, DALIP K		
PORTLAND,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2676	G		
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	. /		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/898,69	99	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
3	Dalip K Si		2676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12</u>	December 2	<u>002</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is no	on-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>2,4,5,8,13 and 18-20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
0) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) □ All b) ⊠ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. □ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language priority. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul ist of the certi estic priority un first sentence provisional ap	n received. n received in Application to have been received in 17.2(a). fied copies not received and a 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) of the specification or application has been received at 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s)		A) Distances a	(DTO 440) D====11	(-)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	3)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No. Patent Application (PTo				

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,758,045 to Moon et al.
 - a. Regarding claim 1, Moon et al. **teaches** a memory cell array (Z buffer 81 of a ZDRAM, Fig. 8), a data modifying circuit (a DRAM including a Z value comparator, col. 6, lines 26-35) distinct from the memory controller (memory control logic 72, Fig. 8) adapted to receive corresponding new external depth data from the memory controller (memory control logic 72, Fig. 8), compare the new external depth data with the internal depth data, and write the external depth data in the memory cell array (Z buffer 81 of a ZDRAM, Fig. 8) over the internal depth data depending on the result of the comparison (....two outer pins used in transferring the result of comparison...for decoding a writesignal of memory with receiving the information of comparison result (LT. GT)...the Z buffer, that is, ZDRAM, further comprises...col. 6, lines 36-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,699

Art Unit: 2676

4. Claim(s) 3, 6, 7, 9-12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,758,045 to Moon et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,301,263 to Dowdell.

Page 3

- a. Regarding claim 3, Moon et al. **discloses** a first control pin (81E, Fig. 9) for receiving a first control signal from the memory controller (memory control logic 72, Fig. 8); and a control circuit (comparator 81A, Fig. 9) for transmitting the external depth data to the memory cell array (81F, Fig. 9). However, Moon et al. **is silent about** bypassing the data modifying circuit depending upon on a state of the first control signal (81E, Fig. 9). Dowdell **discloses** in Fig. 1 the controller/memory module 100 which performs the updating operation, and makes use of an INVALID bit; and there is the case if R1<=W1 which indicates new value should not be written, effectively bypassing the control circuit (comparator 114, Fig. 1, Dowdell). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device as taught by Moon et al. with the feature "bypassing the data modifying circuit by leaving a z-value unchanged based on the INVALID bit" as taught by Dowdell **because** it results in conserving computing resources as no comparison has to take place.
- b. Regarding claims 6 and 7, Moon et al. as modified by Dowdell **discloses** a register for storing the received new external depth data; and a compare circuit (equal comparator 112, greater than comparator 114, Fig. 1, Dowdell).
- c. Regarding claim(s) 9-11, Moon et al. as modified by Dowdell **discloses** making use of most significant, middle significant and least significant bytes of the old and new z-values being read from memory 124 to determine which z-values needs to be written the memory 124.
- d. Regarding claim 12, it is similar in scope to claim 6 above and is rejected under the same rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,699 Page 4

Art Unit: 2676

e. Regarding claims 14 and 15, they are similar in scope to claim 7 above and are rejected under the same rationale.

- f. Regarding claim 16, it is similar in scope to claim 9 above and is rejected under the same rationale.
- g. Regarding claim 17, it is similar in scope to claim 10 above and is rejected under the same rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 4, 5, 8, 13 and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Dalip K. Singh** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3895**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu (8:00AM-6: 30PM) Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Matthew Bella**, can be reached at **(703) 308-6829**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 09/898,699

Art Unit: 2676

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

dks

January 25, 2004

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marken (. Bella

Page 5